



# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

A VISVESWARAYA GROUP INSTITUTION

## FEEDBACK

Sign in to Google to save your progress  
[Learn more](#)

Name

Your answer

Branch

Your answer

1. Are you satisfied with the facilities provided by the



Request edit access

*Paw. AJ*



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

9:34

9:34

Your answer

1. Are you satisfied with the facilities provided by the college

☐ Yes


☐ No

2. Do you think that the college provides you with adequate sports facilities

☐ Yes

☐ No

3. Is it easy to get the resources you need from the

 Request edit access



*Paw. AJ*  
Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



FEEDBACK

Ads

3. Is it easy to get the resources you need from the college library

☐ Yes

☐ No

4. Whether your college is ecofriendly


☐ Yes

☐ No


5. Do you think you would recommend this college to others

☐ Yes

☐ No

 Request edit access

Paw. AJ



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

4. Whether your college is ecofriendly

☐ Yes

☐ No

5. Do you think you would recommend this college to others

☐ Yes

☐ No


Page 1 of 1

**Submit**

Clear form

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

  Request edit access

Paw. AJ





Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK

### FEEDBACK

Name

☐ Copy




Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 584

Paw. AJ

Branch

☐ Copy




1. Are you satisfied with the facilities provided by the college

☐ Copy





● Yes  
● No



*Paw. AJ*  
Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

2. Do you think that the college provides you with adequate sports facilities


 Copy




● Yes  
● No

3. Is it easy to get the resources you need from the college library

 Co



● Yes  
● No




Paw. AJ

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564




4. Whether your college is ecofriendly


 Copy



- Yes
- No

5. Do you think you would recommend this college to others

 Copy



- Yes
- No



Paw. AJ

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 554



# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

A VISVESWARAYA GROUP INSTITUTION

Alumni feedback form


Sign in to Google to save your progress  
Learn more

I feel proud to be associated with  
Toms College

- ☐ Strongly disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Strongly agree

I feel that the college taught me some  
valuable lessons in character building

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree



2  
Paw. AJ

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Muttarakkudi  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

I feel that the college taught me some valuable lessons in character building

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree

☐ Option 1

The college played an important role in increasing my level of confidence

☐ Strongly disagree



2

Paw. Aj



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

The college played an important role  
in increasing my level of confidence

- ☐ Strongly disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Strongly Agree

The extra curricular activities gave me  
an opportunity to develop my  
personality

- ☐ Strongly disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Strongly Agree



Paw. AJ

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

FEEDBACK



3. Is it easy to get the resources you need from the college library

☐ Yes

☐ No

4. Whether your college is ecofriendly

☐ Yes


☐ No

5. Do you think you would recommend this college to others

☐ Yes

☐ No

Request edit access



Paw. AJ


Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



## Alumni Feedback

I feel proud to be associated with Toms College


☐ Copy




- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly agree

I feel that the college taught me some valuable lessons in character building

☐ Copy




- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree




Paw. AJ

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564


The college played an important role in increasing my level of confidence


 Copy




- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree

The extra curricular activities gave me an opportunity to develop my personality

 Copy



- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree



*Paw. AJ*  
Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING


A VISVESWARAYA GROUP INSTITUTION

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

Sign in to Google to save your progress.  
[Learn more](#)


The facilities and infrastructure  
provided for for the students are  
sufficient

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

 [Request edit access](#)



Paw. AJ




Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
MATTAKKARA  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

Q1. The students employed from this institution possess adaptability nature

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

The students employed from this institution possess adaptability nature

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

The students' a industry orie  Request edit access



Paw. AJ


Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

The students have been provided with  
a industry oriented curriculum

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

Will consider the students from our  
institution for future recruitment also

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly c

 Request edit access




Paw. AJ  
Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564




## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK


The facilities and infrastructure provided for the students are sufficient

 Copy



16 responses



The students employed from this institution possess adaptability nature

 Copy

16 responses




*Paw. AJ*  
Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

The students have been provided  
with a industry oriented curriculum

☐ Copy

16 responses




- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Will consider the students from our  
institution for future recruitment also

☐ Copy

16 responses



- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree



Paw. AJ

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

FACULTY FEEDBACK

41 responses



Accepting responses

Summary Question Individual

Name of faculty

39 responses

Copy





*Paw. Aj*

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

Department

39 responses

 Copy



Paw. AJ




Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564


## 1.Syllabus is need based

39 responses

 Copy



- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor



Paw. Aj


Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



2. Programmes outcomes of the syllabi is well defined and clear to teacher & students

 Copy

38 responses



- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor





Paw. AJ

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakkara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 504


### 3. Sufficient number of prescribed books are available in the library

38 responses

 Copy



- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor





Paw. AJ

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564


4. Tests & examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus

39 responses

 Copy




- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor




Paw. AJ

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Muttakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

5. The curriculum has been focus on skill development

 Copy

39 responses



- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor

Paw. AJ



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

A VISVESWARAYA GROUP INSTITUTION

Feedback



## Feedback

Sign in to Google to save your progress.  
[Learn more](#)

Name of faculty

Your answer

Department

Your answer

1. Syllabus is need based



Excellent



Request edit access

Paw. AJ



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564




1. Syllabus is need based

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Satisfactory
- ☐ Poor

2. Programmes outcomes of the syllabi is well defined and clear to teacher & students

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Satisfactory
- ☐ Poor

 Request edit access

Paw. AJ




Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

3. Sufficient number of prescribed books are available in the library

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Satisfactory
- ☐ Poor

4. Tests & examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Satisfactory
- ☐ Poor

 Request edit access



Paw. AJ



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Satisfactory
- ☐ Poor

5. The curriculum has been focus on skill development

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Satisfactory
- ☐ Poor

Submit


Clear form

Never submit passwords through Google Forms

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy

Google  Request edit access

Paw. AJ



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS


## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name ..... UNNIKANNAN R.V

Academic year 2018..... Semester..... 3..... Branch..... C H

Sl. No	Description	Subject Name						
(A)	Course Contents	CPE-I	CPC	Life Skills	Linear Algebra	Partial Deriv.	Fluid Mechanics - I	
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of							
i	Technical content	4	4	5	5	4	4	
ii	Communication skills	4	4	5	5	3	3	
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	4	4	4	5	4	4	
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	4	5	5	5	4	4	
v	Pace on which contents were covered	5	4	4	5	4	4	
vi	Overall effectiveness	4	4	5	5	4	4	
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	5	4	5	5	4	4	
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?	4	—	—	—	4	—	

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4-Very Good, 3-Good, 2-Average, 1-Below-Average)



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564


1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	
5	Any other suggestions	N.I.

Name & Signature of the student

Umbanna R. Suresh

P. J. J.

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mallakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564





# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM


Name Vishnu P. Subu

Academic year 2021-22 Semester 3 Branch Chemical

Description		Subject Name					
SL No	Course Contents	CR	FM	CPE	Permit	LA	LS
(A)							
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	No	No	No	No	No	No
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of						
i	Technical content	4	4	4	4	3	2
ii	Communication skills	4	4	3	5	2	2
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	3	5	4	4	3	3
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	4	4	4	3	2	1
v	Pace on which contents were covered	5	5	3	4	2	2
vi	Overall effectiveness	6	5	3	5	2	1
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	5	4	3	5	3	2
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?			4	5	-	-

Pav. Aji

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4- Very Good, 3-Good, 2- Average, 1-Below Average)



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 554

1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	Nil
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	Give of internet something like stand in the campus actually??
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	We want getting any co-curricular activity
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	None have been done.
5	Any other suggestions	none found so far please.


P. A. A.

Name & Signature of the student

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

Vishnu Saba

P. A. A.





# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM


Name Rayson Roy Academic year 3 Semester 3 Branch Chemical

Sl No	Description	Subject Name				
		Cp	Partic	chem	Open	Final
(A)	Course Contents					
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	3	4	5	4	4
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	3	3	4	4	4
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of					
i	Technical content	3	3	4	4	4
ii	Communication skills	4	3	4	4	4
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	3	3	4	4	4
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	3	3	3	4	4
v	Pace on which contents were covered	2	3	5	4	4
vi	Overall effectiveness	3	3	4	5	5
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	3	3	3	4	4
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?	0	3	4	0	0
		18	18	24	25	25

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4-Very Good, 3-Good, 2-Average, 1-Below Average)


P. J. A. J.

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	Donation of 10 books
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	Football ground needed, proper facilities
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	Cricket (C ground needed), proper facilities
5	Any other suggestions	Donation of 10 books

P. A. A.



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakkara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 504

Name & Signature of the student

Rayson Roy  
*[Signature]*



# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name Bright Johnson

Academic year 17-21 Semester 3 Branch Chemical

Sl. No	Description	Subject Name				
(A)	Course Contents	CPC	CPE-I	F-M	Life Skill	Portfolio Technology
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of					
i	Technical content	4	3	3	4	4
ii	Communication skills	5	5	4	5	5
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	4	4	4.5	5	4.6
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	3.5	3.5	3.5	5	8.7
v	Pace on which contents were covered	4	4	3.7	4.2	4.1
vi	Overall effectiveness	3.7	3.5	3.3	4.3	3.85
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	2	2.7	2.1	4.3	4.3
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?	—	3	—	—	2.4
		04.2	2.2	2.2	26.5	26.25



(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4- Very Good, 3-Good, 2- Average, 1-Below Average)

Pan. A.

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	please shift the library from block
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	—
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	—
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	—
5	Any other suggestions	provide extra more lab facilities.



*P. J. A. J.*

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

Name & Signature of the student

*Pragathi Johnson*

*Pragathi*

# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name Vinay Kumar

Academic year B-19 Semester 3 Branch Chemical

Sl. No	Description	Subject Name					Univ. Marks
(A)	Course Contents	CPC	CPE	FLUID	Practical	Lab. Marks	
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of						
i	Technical content	4	4	4	4	4	4
ii	Communication skills	4	4	4	4	4	4
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	4	3	4	4	4	4
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	3	3	3	4	4	4
v	Pace on which contents were covered	4	4	3	3	4	4
vi	Overall effectiveness	4	4	4	3	4	4
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	2	2	3	4	5	4
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?		3				3
		2	2	3	4	5	4



(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4-Very Good, 3-Good, 2-Average, 1-Below Average)

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS  
MATTAKARA, KOTNAM, 585 184

1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	Shift the library to A Block.
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	Nil
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	Nil
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	Nil
5	Any other suggestions	Nil

Name & Signature of the student

Vinodhan

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattarakk P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564





# TONS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Academic year \_\_\_\_\_ Semester 3 Branch CHEMICAL

Name VIPIN K P

Description	Subject Name				
Course Contents	Did the teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University (Yes/No)	Class room / practical / projects / assignments / viva	Teacher's Personality	Vehicle	Life skills
Did the teacher covered relevant topics relevant to lab (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of					
1. Technical content	5	5	5	5	5
2. Communication skills	5	5	5	5	5
3. Use of Non print teaching aids	5	5	5	5	5
4. A student's beyond normal classes and cooperation to solve individual problems	5	5	5	5	5
5. Part on which contents were covered	5	5	5	5	5
6. Overall effectiveness	5	5	5	5	5
7. How do you rate the contents of the course	5	5	5	5	5
8. How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable	3	3	3	3	3
	30	30	30	30	30

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4-Very Good, 3-Good, 2-Average, 1-Below Average)




TONS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS  
KOTTAYAM - 686 564

1	Any suggestion regarding library facility
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity
5	Any other suggestions

conduct sports day and arts day

Paul A.J.



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
KOTTAIAM, KERALA 686 564

Name & Signature of the student

*Vipin K P*



# JOINS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name: Arjun Reddy


Academic year: 2021-22 Semester: 3rd Branch: Computer Science

Description		Subject Name			
Sl. No.	Description	CP	TE	TA	Final
		CP	TE	TA	Final
1	Course Contents	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Did the teacher covered the portions as per the syllabus given by UGC?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	Did the teacher covered relevant topics as per the syllabus given by UGC?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	Did the teacher in terms of				
5	Explain content	3	3	3	3
6	Communication skills	3	3	3	3
7	Use of audio-visual teaching aids	3	3	3	3
8	Exercises beyond normal classes and assignments to solve individual problems	3	3	3	3
9	Part of which contents were covered	3	3	3	3
10	Verbal effectiveness	3	3	3	3
11	How did you rate the contents of the				
12	Exercises	3	3	3	3
13	How did you rate lab facilities, if any	3	3	3	3
14	Overall	3	3	3	3
15	Sum	30	30	30	30
16	Average	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4-Very Good, 3-Good, 2-Average, 1-Below Average)



1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	—
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	—
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	—
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	—
5	Any other suggestions	—



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

Paul A

Sonu  
Name & Signature of the student



# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS


## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name: Aravin d.s

Academic year 2018-19 Semester 5 Branch Chemical

Sl No	Description	Subject Name						
(A)	Course Contents	CPC	CPE	Fluid	Particle Technology	Laser	Linear Algebra	
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	No	No	No	yes	yes	yes	
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of							
i	Technical content	2	4	4	4	4	4	
ii	Communication skills	5	4	4	4	5	4	
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	4	4	4	4	4	4	
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	5	4	4	4	5	4	
v	Pace on which contents were covered	4	3	3	3	3	3	
vi	Overall effectiveness	4	4	4	4	4	4	
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	3	3	3	3	3	3	
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?	—	4	—	4	—	—	
		24	23	23	23	25	23	

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4- Very Good, 3-Good, 2- Average, 1-Below Average)



Pav. Aji

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
KOTAYAM, KERALA - 686 554


1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	Shift the library from A block.
2	Any suggestion regarding internet facility	No internet facility.
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	Programmes should be conducted.
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	Programmes should be conducted.
5	Any other suggestions	was given to vacate Hostel mess room

Name & Signature of the student

Aravind

Aravind

TOMAS COLLEGE  
KOTAYAM  
Kerala





# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS


## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name Carroll Babu

Academic year 2014 Semester 3 Branch CHEMICAL

Sl No	Description	Subject Name					
(A)	Course Contents	CPC	Particls	Chemistry	life skill	Physical	Others
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	NO	Yes	Yes
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	NO	Yes	Yes
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of						
i	Technical content	4	4	4	3	4	4
ii	Communication skills	4	4	4	2	4	4
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	4	4	4	2	4	4
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	4	4	4	2	4	4
v	Pace on which contents were covered	3	4	4	2	3	4
vi	Overall effectiveness	4	4	4	2	4	4
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	4	4	4	3	4	4
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?	0	5	5	0	0	0
		23	24	24	13	23	24

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4- Very Good, 3-Good, 2- Average, 1-Below Average)



Paw. Aji

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mallakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	No
2	Any suggestion regarding internet facility	Yes and much interest in using website provided by the institutions
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	Yes
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Curricular activity	Yes
5	Any other suggestions	No sir & sincerely no other classes extra curricular activities for students that given and guide us

P. J. J.



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakkari PO  
KOTTAYAM - 686 564

Name & Signature of the student



# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS


## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name RISHINA BABU Academic year S3 Semester S3 Branch Chemical Engineering

Sl. No	Description	Subject Name					
(A)	Course Contents	Linear	Lifeskill	CPE	CPC	Good	Not Good
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of						
i	Technical content	5	5	5	5	4	4
ii	Communication skills	5	5	5	5	3	3
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	5	5	5	5	4	4
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	5	5	4	4	4	4
v	Pace on which contents were covered	5	5	5	5	4	4
vi	Overall effectiveness	5	5	5	5	4	4
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	5	5	4	3	4	3
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?	-	-	4	-	4	-
		30	30	30	29	24	23

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4-Very Good, 3-Good, 2-Average, 1-Below-Average)


T.A.V. A.J.



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	No
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	No
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	No
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	No
5	Any other suggestions	No



*P. J. J.*

Name & Signature of the student

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
MATTAKARA P.O. - 686 564  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA


# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name Uthayakumar Academic year III Semester III Branch Chemical

Sl.No	Description	Linear	Lifeshll	CPE	CPC	partake technology	Final
(A)	Course Contents						
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of						
i	Technical content	5	5	5	5	4	4
ii	Communication skills	5	5	5	5	3	3
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	5	5	5	5	4	4
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	5	5	5	4	4	4
v	Pace on which contents were covered	5	5	5	5	4	4
vi	Overall effectiveness	5	5	5	5	4	4
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	5	5	4	3	4	3
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?	-	-	4	-	4	-
		30	30	30	29	23	23

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4- Very Good, 3-Good, 2- Average, 1-Below Average)



P. A. A.

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mallakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	No
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	No
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	No
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	No
5	Any other suggestions	No

Name & Signature of the student

*Udaya*

*Udaya*

*P. A. A.*

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564






# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name Vinayam J Academic year 18-19 Semester 3 Branch Chemical



Description		Subject Name				
	Sl. No	Course Contents	CPC	CPE	FLUID	Particle Technology
1		Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2		Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	No	No	No	No
3		Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of				
i		Technical content	4	4	4	4
ii		Communication skills	4	4	4	4
iii		Use of Non print teaching aids	4	3	3	4
iv		Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	3	3	3	4.2
v		Pace on which contents were covered	4	4	3	4.5
vi		Overall effectiveness	4	4	3	4.5
4		How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	2	2	3	5
5		How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?	-	3	-	3
			23	22	20	25.2
						24

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4-Very Good, 3-Good, 2-Average, 1-Below-Average)

*Pa. J. P. J.*

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
KOTTAIYAM  
A-680584



1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	Please Shift the library from 1st block
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	—
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	—
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	—
5	Any other suggestions	provide <del>extra</del> more lab facilities.

Name & Signature of the student

Bright Johnson

*Bright Johnson*

Paw. A.J.

Director

TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
MATTAKARA P.O.

KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564






# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name Bright Johnson Academic year 17-18 Semester 3 Branch Chemical Technology

Sl. No	Description	Subject Name				
(A)	Course Contents	CPC	CPE-I	F-M	Linear Algebra	Life Skill Technology
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of					
i	Technical content	4	3	3	4	4
ii	Communication skills	5	5	4	5	5
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	4	4	4.5	5	4.6
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	3.5	3.5	3.5	4	5
v	Pace on which contents were covered	4	4	3.7	4.4	4.2
vi	Overall effectiveness	3.7	3.5	3.3	4.1	3.8
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	2	2.7	2.1	4.8	4.5
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?	-	3	-	-	-
		04.2	23	22	26.5	26
						25.25

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4-Very Good, 3-Good, 2-Average, 1-Below Average)



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

P. J. A. J.



1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	Transfer it to B block
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	Feasible plans needed, permission
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	Feasible plans needed, permission
5	Any other suggestions	Complete the Constitution

Paw 12

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mettur P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

Name & Signature of the student

Rayson Roy  
*Rayson Roy*

# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name Rayson Roy Academic year 3 Semester 3 Branch Chemical

Sl No	Description	Subject Name						
		CPE	Physics	chemistry	Cipen II	Thermodynamics	Others	
(A)	Course Contents							
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	3	4	5	4	4	4	
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	3	3	4	4	4	4	
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of							
i	Technical content	3	3	4	4	4	4	
ii	Communication skills	4	3	4	4	4	4	
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	3	3	4	4	4	4	
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	3	3	3	4	4	4	
v	Pace on which contents were covered	2	3	5	4	4	4	
vi	Overall effectiveness	3	3	4	5	5	5	
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	3	3	3	4	3	4	
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?	0	3	4	0	0	0	
		18	18	24	25	25	25	

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4-Very Good, 3-Good, 2-Average, 1-Below-Average)

Rayson Roy

Director

TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Manipal P.O

KOTTAYAM, KERALA, INDIA




1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	Nil
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	Is there something like that in the campus actually?!
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	We want getting any co-curricular activity
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	None have been done.
5	Any other suggestions	can provide the ball please.

Name & Signature of the student

Vishnu P Sabar

*[Signature]*

**P. A. J.**  
Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara PO  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 584






# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM

Name: Vishnu P. Subu Academic year: 2017 Semester: 3 Branch: Chemical

Description		Subject Name					
Sl. No		CA	FM	CPE	Practical	LA	LS
(A)	Course Contents						
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	No	No	No	No	No	No
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of						
i	Technical content	4	4	4	4	3	2
ii	Communication skills	4	4	3	5	2	2
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	3	5	4	4	3	3
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	4	4	4	3	2	1
v	Pace on which contents were covered	5	5	3	4	2	2
vi	Overall effectiveness	4	5	3	5	2	1
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	4	4	3	5	3	2
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?			4	5	—	—
		24	29	21	25	14	11

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4- Very Good, 3-Good, 2- Average, 1-Below Average)



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS  
KOTTAYAM  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 594

P. A. A. J.



1	Any suggestion regarding library facility	
2	Any suggestion regarding internet Facility	
3	Any suggestion regarding Co-Curricular activity	
4	Any suggestion regarding Extra Co-Curricular activity	
5	Any other suggestions	N.I.

Name & Signature of the student

Unnikrishnan R.  


Paul Aji



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mathukara P.O  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA 686 564



# TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING FOR STARTUPS

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK FORM


Name ..... UNNIKANNAN R.V ..... Academic year 2018 ..... Semester ..... S3 ..... Branch ..... C.H.

Sl. No	Description	Subject Name						
(A)	Course Contents	CPE-I	CPC	Life Skills	Linear Algebra	Parallel Techn.	Fluid Mechanics - I	
1	Has the Teacher covered the portions as prescribed by University? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
2	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond Syllabus (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of							
i	Technical content	4	4	5	5	4	4	
ii	Communication skills	4	4	5	5	3	3	
iii	Use of Non print teaching aids	4	4	4	5	4	4	
iv	Availability beyond normal classes and co-operation to solve individual problems	4	5	5	5	4	4	
v	Pace on which contents were covered	5	4	4	5	4	4	
vi	Overall effectiveness	4	4	5	5	4	4	
4	How do you rate the contents of the curriculum?	5	4	5	5	4	4	
5	How do you rate lab facilities, if applicable?	4	—	—	—	4	—	
		25	25	28	30	23	23	

(Rating: 5-Excellent, 4- Very Good, 3-Good, 2- Average, 1-Below Average)

*Pawal*

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564





**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING**  
**FACULTY EVALUATION**

S#	Faculty name	S2	S4	S6	S8
1	Shijina B(HOD CSE)		96.88%		89.09%
2	Alby alphonsa Joseph			89%	87%
3	Anaha Ashokan		96%	83.6%	
4	Vineetha .V	85.4%			
5.	Radhi S		81%	80%	
6	Safna Sainudeen		96.6%		85.75%



Paw. AJ

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



TOMS College of Engineering And Polytechnic  
Mattakkara, Kerala 686564.

Evaluation Name : CONSOLIDATED STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT

Staff Name : Ms ALBY ALPHONSA JOSEPH (TCE0149)

Batch Name : CS2019


Subject Name : COMPUTER GRAPHICS AND IMAGE PROCESSING

Sl.no	Questions	Quality	Point (P)	Votes (V)
SECTION 1				
1	KNOWLEDGE OF THE TEACHER IN THE SUBJECT	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	0.00%
		Excellent	5	100.00%
Points Gained :				5/1 = 5.00
2	CLARITY AND UNDERSTANDABILITY OF TEACHERS EXPLANATION	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	100.00%
		Excellent	5	0.00%
Points Gained :				4/1 = 4.00
3	Teacher's willingness to help	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	100.00%
		Excellent	5	0.00%
Points Gained :				4/1 = 4.00
4	Approximate % of classes not engaged by the teacher	blank	0	0.00%
		>25%	3	0.00%
		10-25%	4	0.00%
		<10%	5	100.00%
Points Gained :				5/1 = 5.00
5	Whether the teacher dictates notes only without explanation	No	4	100.00%
		Yes	5	0.00%
Points Gained :				4/1 = 4.00
6	Teacher's ability to organise lectures	inadequate	3	0.00%
		Satisfactory	4	0.00%
		Excellent	5	100.00%
Points Gained :				5/1 = 5.00
7	Speed of Presentation	Too Slow	2	0.00%
		Too Fast	4	0.00%
		Just Right	5	100.00%
Points Gained :				5/1 = 5.00
8	Does the teacher encourage questioning	No	1	0.00%
		sometimes	4	0.00%
		Yes	5	100.00%
Points Gained :				5/1 = 5.00
		Unpleasant	2	0.00%



*Paw. AJ*  
Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

9 Behaviour of the Teacher		Indifferent	4	0.00%
Points Gained :		Pleasant	5	100.00%
				5/1 = 5.00
10 Sincerity of the teacher		Not judgable	1	0.00%
Points Gained :		Not Sincere	3	0.00%
		Sincere	5	100.00%
				5/1 = 5.00
11 Overall teaching effectiveness of the teacher		Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	0.00%
Points Gained :		Excellent	5	100.00%
				5/1 = 5.00
Teaching Effectiveness Index			52	
Total Percentage			94.55%	



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA

**Evaluation Name : CONSOLIDATED STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT**

Staff Name : Ms ALBY ALPHONSA JOSEPH (TCE0149)

Batch Name : CS2019

Subject Name : COMPILER DESIGN

Sl.no	Questions	Quality	Point (P)	Votes (V)
-------	-----------	---------	-----------	-----------

**SECTION 1**

**KNOWLEDGE OF THE TEACHER IN THE**

1 SUBJECT

Poor	2	0.00%
Fair	3	0.00%
Good	4	0.00%
Excellent	5	100.00%
<b>5/1 = 5.00</b>		

Points Gained :

**CLARITY AND UNDERSTANDABILITY OF**

2 TEACHERS EXPLANATION

Poor	2	0.00%
Fair	3	0.00%
Good	4	100.00%
Excellent	5	0.00%
<b>4/1 = 4.00</b>		

Points Gained :

3 Teacher's willingness to help

Poor	2	0.00%
Fair	3	0.00%
Good	4	100.00%
Excellent	5	0.00%
<b>4/1 = 4.00</b>		

Points Gained :

4 Approximate % of classes not engaged by the teacher

blank	0	0.00%
>25%	3	0.00%
10-25%	4	0.00%
<10%	5	100.00%
<b>5/1 = 5.00</b>		

Points Gained :

5 Whether the teacher dictates notes only without explanation

No	4	100.00%
Yes	5	0.00%
<b>4/1 = 4.00</b>		

Points Gained :

6 Teacher's ability to organise lectures

Inadequate	3	0.00%
Satisfactory	4	0.00%
Excellent	5	100.00%
<b>5/1 = 5.00</b>		

Points Gained :

7 Speed of Presentation

Too Slow	2	0.00%
Too Fast	4	0.00%
Just Right	5	100.00%
<b>5/1 = 5.00</b>		


Points Gained :

8 Does the teacher encourage questioning

No	1	0.00%
sometimes	4	100.00%
Yes	5	0.00%
<b>4/1 = 4.00</b>		

Points Gained :


Unpleasant	2	0.00%
------------	---	-------



*Paw. A.J.*  
Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF EN  
KOTTAYAM, KERA



9 Behaviour of the Teacher			
Points Gained :		Indifferent	4
		Pleasant	5
10 Sincerity of the teacher		Not Judgable	1
Points Gained :		Not Sincere	3
		Sincere	5
11 Overall teaching effectiveness of the teacher		Poor	2
Points Gained :		Fair	3
		Good	4
		Excellent	5
Teaching Effectiveness Index			51
Total Percentage			92.73%



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
KOTTAYAM, PIN - 686 564

**Evaluation Name : CONSOLIDATED STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT**

Staff Name : Ms ALBY ALPHONSA JOSEPH (TCE0149)

Batch Name : CS2019

Subject Name : NETWORKING LAB

Sl.no	Questions	Quality	Point (P)	Votes (V)
-------	-----------	---------	-----------	-----------

**SECTION 1**

1	KNOWLEDGE OF THE TEACHER IN THE SUBJECT	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	0.00%
		Excellent	5	100.00%
		5/1 = 5.00		

Points Gained :

2	CLARITY AND UNDERSTANDABILITY OF TEACHERS EXPLANATION	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	100.00%
		Excellent	5	0.00%
		4/1 = 4.00		

Points Gained :

3	Teacher's willingness to help	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	100.00%
		Good	4	0.00%
		Excellent	5	0.00%
		3/1 = 3.00		

Points Gained :

4	Approximate % of classes not engaged by the teacher	blank	0	100.00%
		>25%	3	0.00%
		10-25%	4	0.00%
		<10%	5	0.00%
		0/1 = 0.00		

Points Gained :

5	Whether the teacher dictates notes only without explanation	No	4	100.00%
		Yes	5	0.00%
		4/1 = 4.00		
		inadequate	3	0.00%
		Satisfactory	4	0.00%
		Excellent	5	100.00%
		5/1 = 5.00		

Points Gained :

6	Teacher's ability to organise lectures	Too Slow	2	0.00%
		Too Fast	4	0.00%
		Just Right	5	100.00%
		5/1 = 5.00		
		No	1	0.00%
		sometimes	4	100.00%
		Yes	5	0.00%
		4/1 = 4.00		

Points Gained :

7	Speed of Presentation	Too Slow	2	0.00%
		Too Fast	4	0.00%
		Just Right	5	100.00%
		5/1 = 5.00		
		No	1	0.00%
		sometimes	4	100.00%
		Yes	5	0.00%
		4/1 = 4.00		

Points Gained :

8	Does the teacher encourage questioning	No	1	0.00%
		sometimes	4	100.00%
		Yes	5	0.00%
		4/1 = 4.00		
		Unpleasant	2	0.00%

Points Gained :



9 Behaviour of the Teacher	Indifferent	4	0.00%
	Pleasant	5	100.00%
Points Gained :		5/1 = 5.00	
10 Sincerity of the teacher	Not judgable	1	0.00%
	Not Sincere	3	0.00%
	Sincere	5	100.00%
	Points Gained :		5/1 = 5.00
11 Overall teaching effectiveness of the teacher	Poor	2	0.00%
	Fair	3	0.00%
	Good	4	100.00%
	Excellent	5	0.00%
Points Gained :		4/1 = 4.00	
Teaching Effectiveness Index			44
Total Percentage			80%

*Pat. A2*



Director,  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara, Kottayam - 686 564



TOMS College of Engineering And Polytechnic  
Mattakkara, Kerala 686564.

**Evaluation Name : CONSOLIDATED STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT**

Staff Name : Shilina B (TCE0035)


Batch Name : CS2020

Subject Name : GRAPH THEORY

Sl.no	Questions	Quality	Point (P)	Votes (V)
-------	-----------	---------	-----------	-----------

**SECTION 1**

1	KNOWLEDGE OF THE TEACHER IN THE SUBJECT	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	14.29%
		Excellent	5	85.71%
Points Gained :				34/7 = 4.86
2	CLARITY AND UNDERSTANDABILITY OF TEACHERS EXPLANATION	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	0.00%
		Excellent	5	100.00%
Points Gained :				35/7 = 5.00
3	Teacher's willingness to help	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	0.00%
		Excellent	5	100.00%
Points Gained :				35/7 = 5.00
	Approximate % of classes not engaged by the teacher	blank	0	0.00%
		>25%	3	28.57%
		10-25%	4	0.00%
		<10%	5	71.43%
Points Gained :				31/7 = 4.43
5	Whether the teacher dictates notes only without explanation	No	4	71.43%
		Yes	5	28.57%
Points Gained :				30/7 = 4.29
6	Teacher's ability to organise lectures	inadequate	3	0.00%
		Satisfactory	4	0.00%
		Excellent	5	100.00%
Points Gained :				35/7 = 5.00
7	Speed of Presentation	Too Slow	2	0.00%
		Too Fast	4	0.00%
		Just Right	5	100.00%
Points Gained :				35/7 = 5.00
8	Does the teacher encourage questioning	No	1	0.00%
		sometimes	4	0.00%
		Yes	5	100.00%
Points Gained :				35/7 = 5.00
		Unpleasant	2	0.00%



TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564  
Director  
Paw. Ai



**CONSOLIDATED STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT**

Evaluation Name : CONSOLIDATED STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT


Staff Name : Shilina B (TCE0035)

Batch Name : CS2020

Subject Name : COMPUTER ORGANISATION AND ARCHITECTURE

Questions


SECTION 1		2	0.00%
Sl.no	Questions		
1	KNOWLEDGE OF THE TEACHER IN THE SUBJECT	Poor	2
		Fair	3
		Good	4
		Excellent	5
Points Gained :			33/7 = 4.71
2	CLARITY AND UNDERSTANDABILITY OF TEACHERS EXPLANATION	Poor	2
		Fair	3
		Good	4
		Excellent	5
Points Gained :			34/7 = 4.86
3	Teacher's willingness to help	Poor	2
		Fair	3
		Good	4
		Excellent	5
Points Gained :			35/7 = 5.00
4	Approximate % of classes not engaged by the teacher	Blank	0
		>25%	3
		10-25%	4
		<10%	5
Points Gained :			31/7 = 4.43
5	Whether the teacher dictates notes only without explanation	No	4
		Yes	5
Points Gained :			30/7 = 4.29
6	Teacher's ability to organise lectures	Inadequate	3
		Satisfactory	4
		Excellent	5
Points Gained :			35/7 = 5.00
7	Speed of Presentation	Too Slow	2
		Too Fast	4
		Just Right	5
Points Gained :			35/7 = 5.00
8	Does the teacher encourage questioning	No	1
		Sometimes	4
		Yes	5
Points Gained :			33/7 = 4.71
9	Unpleasant		2
			0.00%



*Dr. A. J. A.*  
Principal  
TOMS College of Engineering  
Mattakkara, Kottayam - 686 564



9 Behaviour of the Teacher		Indifferent	4	0.00%
Points Gained :		Pleasant	5	100.00%
10 Sincerity of the teacher		Not Judgable	1	35/7 = 5.00
Points Gained :		Not Sincere	3	0.00%
		Sincere	5	100.00%
				35/7 = 5.00
11 Overall teaching effectiveness of the teacher		Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	0.00%
Points Gained :		Excellent	5	100.00%
				35/7 = 5.00
Teaching Effectiveness Index				53
Total Percentage				96.36%



TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
KOTTAYAM - 686 564  
Mattakkara

Evaluation Name: CONSOLIDATED STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT

Batch Name: CS2020 54

Subject Name Faculty Name No of student attended (S)				CSL 2 TCE02 7	CSL20 2 TCE02 7	CST 2 TCE00 7	CST 2 TCE0 7	CST 2 TCE0 7	HUT20 2 TCE02 7	MAT 2 TCE0 7	MNC 2 TCE02 7
Sl.no	Questions	Quality	Point (P)	Votes (V)							
1)	Overall teaching effectiveness of the teacher	Excellent	5	85.71%	85.71%	100.00%	85.71%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
		Good	4	14.29%	14.29%	0.00%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		Poor	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		*Points Gained (Score)		4.86	4.86	5.00	4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
2)	Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
		Not Sin...	3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		Not jud...	1	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		*Points Gained (Score)		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
3)	Behaviour of the Teacher	Pleasant	5	85.71%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	85.71%
		Indiffe...	4	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	14.29%
		Unpleas...	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		*Points Gained (Score)		4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.86
4)	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	5	100.00%	85.71%	71.43%	85.71%	85.71%	100.00%	100.00%	71.43%
		sometimes	4	0.00%	14.29%	28.57%	14.29%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	28.57%
		No	1	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		*Points Gained (Score)		5.00	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.71




[illegible]



OF TEACHERS EXPLANATION	Fair	3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	Poor	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
*Points Gained (Score)			4.71	4.86	4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.86	
KNOWLEDGE OF THE TEACHER IN THE SUBJECT	Excellent	5	71.43%	71.43%	71.43%	71.43%	71.43%	85.71%	85.71%	85.71%	
	Good	4	28.57%	28.57%	28.57%	28.57%	28.57%	14.29%	14.29%	14.29%	
	Fair	3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	Poor	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
*Points Gained (Score)			4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	
Teaching Effectiveness Index			52.57	52.71	53.00	53.14	53.43	53.71	53.57	52.86	
Students Suggestions/Feedback			➡	➡	➡	➡	➡	➡	➡	➡	



  
 Director  
 TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
 Mattakara P.O.  
 KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

Sl.no		Questions	Quality	Point (P)	Votes (V)	No of student attended (S)							
		TEACHER IN THE SUBJECT											
		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor								
		5	4	3	2								
1)		100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	HUT CST	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
		100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	CST	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
		100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	CST	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
		100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	CST	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
		100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	CST	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
		100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	CSL	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
		100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	CSD	3	1	0	0	0	0	0

teacher

Good

4

0.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0.00%

Fair

3

0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%

Poor

2

0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%

\*Points Gained (Score)

5.00 4.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 4.00

3)

Sincerity of the teacher

Sincere

5

100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%

Not  
Sin...


3

0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%

Not  
jud...

1

0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%



 Director  
 TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

KOTTAYAM 2024

\*Points Gained (Score)

5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00














[illegible]

## Teaching Effectiveness Index

47.00	44.00	47.00	45.00	52.00	51.00	44.00	42.00
(?)	(?)	(?)	(?)	(?)	(?)	(?)	(?)

## Students Suggestions/Feedback

Full Screen



Powered by Linways Technologies Pvt. Ltd. (<http://linways.com/>)

Product Version :3.4.0 ENTERPRISE

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564



Evaluation Name: CONSOLIDATED STUDENT FEEDBACK  
REPORT Batch Name: CS2018

58

Batch Name: CS2018									
Subject Name				CS4BT136340340340					
Faculty Name				TC100102100100100100					
No of student attended (S)				33333					
Sl.no	Questions	Quality	Point (P)	Votes (V)					
1)	Overall teaching effectiveness of the teacher	Excellent	5	66.67%	100%	66.67%	33.33%	33.33%	
		Good	4	33.33%	0%	0%	0%	66.67%	
		Fair	3	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		Poor	2	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		*Points Gained (Score)			4.67	5.00	4.33	4.33	
2)	Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	5	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
		Not Sin...	3	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		Not jud...	1	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		*Points Gained (Score)			5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
3)	Behaviour of the Teacher	Pleasant	5	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
		Indiffe...	4	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		Unpleas...	2	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		*Points Gained (Score)			5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
4)	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	5	100%	100%	66.67%	100%	100%	
		sometimes	4	0.00%	0%	33.33%	0%	0%	
		No	1	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		*Points Gained (Score)			5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00
5)	Speed of Presentation	Just Ri...	5	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
		Too Fast	4	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		Too Slow	2	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		*Points Gained (Score)			5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
6)	Teacher's ability to organise lectures	Excellent	5	66.67%	100%	66.67%	66.67%	67	
		Satisfa...	4	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%	33	
		inadequ...	3	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		*Points Gained (Score)			4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67
7)	Whether the teacher dictates notes only without explanation	Yes	5	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		No	4	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
*Points Gained (Score)				4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	
8)	<10%		5	33.33%	0%	0%	0%	33.33	



		Approximate % of classes not engaged by the teacher		10-25% >25% blank	4 3 0	0.00% 0.00% 66.67%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 66.67%	
*Points Gained (Score)						1.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.67
9)	Teacher's willingness to help	Excellent	5	66.67%	33.33%	33.33%	66.67%	33.33%	66.67%	
		Good	4	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	
		Fair	3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	
		Poor	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
*Points Gained (Score)						4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	
10)	CLARITY AND UNDERSTANDABILITY OF TEACHERS EXPLANATION	Excellent	5	66.67%	33.33%	33.33%	66.67%	33.33%	66.67%	
		Good	4	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	
		Fair	3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
		Poor	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
*Points Gained (Score)						4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	
11)	KNOWLEDGE OF THE TEACHER IN THE SUBJECT	Excellent	5	33.33%	66.67%	66.67%	66.67%	33.33%	66.67%	
		Good	4	66.67%	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	
		Fair	3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
		Poor	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
*Points Gained (Score)						4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	
Teaching Effectiveness Index						48.67	46.67	48.00	46.33	48.33
Students Suggestions/Feedback						↶	↶	↶	↶	↶

**Evaluation Name : CONSOLIDATED STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT**

Staff Name : Shijina B (TCE0035)


Batch Name : CS2018

Subject Name : Project

Sl.no	Questions	Quality	Point (P)	Votes (V)
-------	-----------	---------	-----------	-----------

**SECTION 1**

		Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	66.67%
		Excellent	5	33.33%
1 KNOWLEDGE OF THE TEACHER IN THE SUBJECT				13/3 = 4.33
Points Gained :		Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	33.33%
		Excellent	5	66.67%
2 CLARITY AND UNDERSTANDABILITY OF TEACHERS EXPLANATION				14/3 = 4.67
Points Gained :		Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	33.33%
		Excellent	5	66.67%
3 Teacher's willingness to help				14/3 = 4.67
Points Gained :		blank	0	66.67%
		>25%	3	0.00%
		10-25%	4	0.00%
		<10%	5	33.33%
4 Approximate % of classes not engaged by the teacher				5/3 = 1.67
Points Gained :		No	4	100.00%
		Yes	5	0.00%
5 Whether the teacher dictates notes only without explanation				12/3 = 4.00
Points Gained :		inadequate	3	0.00%
		Satisfactory	4	33.33%
		Excellent	5	66.67%
6 Teacher's ability to organise lectures				14/3 = 4.67
Points Gained :		Too Slow	2	0.00%
		Too Fast	4	0.00%
		Just Right	5	100.00%
7 Speed of Presentation				15/3 = 5.00
Points Gained :		No	1	0.00%
		sometimes	4	0.00%
		Yes	5	100.00%
8 Does the teacher encourage questioning				15/3 = 5.00
Points Gained :		Unpleasant	2	0.00%



*Paul* Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakkara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686564

9 Behaviour of the Teacher		Indifferent	4	0.00%
Points Gained :		Pleasant	5	100.00%
				15/3 = 5.00
10 Sincerity of the teacher		Not Judgable	1	0.00%
Points Gained :		Not Sincere	3	0.00%
		Sincere	5	100.00%
				15/3 = 5.00
11 Overall teaching effectiveness of the teacher		Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	33.33%
Points Gained :		Excellent	5	66.67%
				14/3 = 4.67
Teaching Effectiveness Index				48.67
Total Percentage				88.48%



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
MATTAKKARA  
KOTTAYAM, PIN - 686 564

*Paul. A. J.*



# Evaluation Name : CONSOLIDATED STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT

Staff Name : Ms ALBY ALPHONSA JOSEPH (TCE0149)

Batch Name : CS2018

Subject Name : Principles of Information Security(Elective 4)

Sl.no	Questions	Quality	Point (p)	Votes (V)
-------	-----------	---------	-----------	-----------

## SECTION 1

1	KNOWLEDGE OF THE TEACHER IN THE SUBJECT	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	33.33%
		Excellent	5	66.67%
				14/3 = 4.67

2	CLARITY AND UNDERSTANDABILITY OF TEACHERS EXPLANATION	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	0.00%
		Excellent	5	100.00%
				15/3 = 5.00

3	Teacher's willingness to help	Poor	2	0.00%
		Fair	3	0.00%
		Good	4	66.67%
		Excellent	5	33.33%
				13/3 = 4.33


4	Approximate % of classes not engaged by the teacher	blank	0	100.00%
		>25%	3	0.00%
		10-25%	4	0.00%
		<10%	5	0.00%
				0/3 = 0.00

5	Whether the teacher dictates notes only without explanation	No	4	100.00%
		Yes	5	0.00%
				12/3 = 4.00
		Inadequate	3	0.00%
		Satisfactory	4	0.00%
		Excellent	5	100.00%
				15/3 = 5.00

6	Teacher's ability to organise lectures	Too Slow	2	0.00%
		Too Fast	4	0.00%
		Just Right	5	100.00%
				15/3 = 5.00

7	Speed of Presentation	No	1	0.00%
		sometimes	4	0.00%
		Yes	5	100.00%
				15/3 = 5.00

8	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Unpleasant	2	100.00%



Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Mattakara P.O.  
KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686 564

9 Behaviour of the Teacher			
Points Gained :		Indifferent	4
		Pleasant	5
			100.00%
10 Sincerity of the teacher		Not Judgable	1
Points Gained :		Not Sincere	3
		Sincere	5
			100.00%
11 Overall teaching effectiveness of the teacher		Poor	2
Points Gained :		Fair	3
		Good	4
		Excellent	5
			100.00%
			15/3 = 5.00
			0.00%
			0.00%
			0.00%
			100.00%
			15/3 = 5.00
			48
			87.27%



*Pav. Aji*

Director  
TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
KOTTAYAM - 686 564